**SSR Portfolio Reflection Assignment**

Standard Addressed: [W.9-10.5](http://www.corestandards.org/ELA-Literacy/W/9-10/5/)

Directions: You will spend time reflecting upon your SSR Portfolio*.* The reflection component is a required assignment that will be a separate grade in PowerSchool. Follow all directions below.

Part 1:

**Reflection Assignment (Required)**

You will type a reflection about your SSR project by answering the following prompts:

* What was your process in approaching such a large project that was self-directed and self-paced?
* What was your best mini project and why? (Include a picture.)
* What was your worst mini project and why? (Include a picture.)
* What was your favorite mini project and why? (Include a picture.)

**Due Date**

* The **reflection** will be due on Turnitin by midnight on **Tuesday April 17, 2018**. You will see the submission link for this on Turnitin.com
* You will also need post your reflection to the blog at <https://hickmak.wordpress.com/> . You will find your classes “post” and copy/paste your reflection as a comment.

Part 2:

**Read and evaluate each other’s Reflections**

Each student will read carefully and formally evaluate 4 of your peers’ Reflections. You must evaluate one reflection from EACH class - 1st, 3rd, and 6th. Each review must contain at least one compliment, one area for improvement, and one question (five sentences total). You must also provide a grade on a scale of your own devising. Do not share the key to the scale; for example, you could give a grade of “Tyrannosaurus” and not tell us whether that is a good grade or a bad grade.

Details:

• Each student will review 4 entries. If a post has more than 5 reviews already, pick a different student to review.

• Each evaluation must contain at least one compliment, one area for improvement, and one question (five sentences total).

• Only comment on “grammar,” punctuation, proofreading, typos, etc. IF you give specific examples. You must explain why this "error" hindered your understanding.

• End each assessment with a grade on a scale of your own devising which you would assign this entry

Thoughts on evaluating:

You do not need to be expert to evaluate each other’s work. On the contrary, everyone should write their project with their classmates in mind as an audience. You should seek clarity without arrogance. When evaluating, clarity should be one of your primary criterion. Can you follow the author’s explanations? Is the author providing information at a level appropriate to this class? Secondly, evaluators should feel free to consider the perceived effort put forth in preparing the project. Is it clearly written, and free from basic typographical errors? Has the author seemed to minimally follow the assignment, matched the assignment appropriately, or perhaps gone beyond the expectations of the assignment? Feel free to mentally compare your peers’ reflections with your own.

**Due Date**

We will be completing Part 2 in the Computer Lab on April 18 or 19 (to post your peer evaluations)